

Appl. No. 10/020,637
Amdt. dated July 6, 2006
Reply to Office Action of March 14, 2006

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently Amended) A method for determining preference results for a product having an attribute, the method comprising:
 - calculating a base preference for the product;
 - calculating a downside for the product; ~~and~~
 - calculating an upside for the product; and
 - comparing the base preference, the downside and the upside to identify product attributes affecting preference results.
2. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the base preference is the an overall preference for the product where no test subject prefers the product on its delivery of the attribute.
3. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the base preference is the ratio of the number of test subjects who preferred the product overall but not with respect to the attribute to the number of test subjects who did not prefer the product with respect to the attribute.
4. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the downside is ~~the incremental~~ an overall preference above the base preference attributable to the attribute.
5. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein calculating the downside for the product includes taking the difference between a base preference and an overall preference, wherein the overall preference is the ratio of the number of test subjects who preferred the product overall to the total number of test subjects.
6. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the upside is ~~the incremental~~ an overall preference attributable to the maximum potential attribute preference.

Appl. No. 10/020,637
Amdt. dated July 6, 2006
Reply to Office Action of March 14, 2006

7. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein calculating an upside for the product includes taking the difference between the an overall preference and ~~the~~ a best preference, where the best preference is the ratio of the number of test subjects who preferred the product both overall and with respect to the attribute to the number of test subjects who preferred the product with respect to the attribute.

8. (Original) A method for developing a product having first and second attributes, the method comprising:

calculating a base preference, a downside, and an upside for the first attribute;

calculating a base preference, a downside, and an upside for the second attribute;

comparing the calculations to determine which attribute is superior; and

developing the product with the superior attribute.

9. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 8, wherein the base preference is ~~the~~ an overall preference for the product where no test subject prefers the product on its delivery of an attribute.

10. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 8, wherein the base preference is ~~the~~ a ratio of the number of test subjects who preferred the product overall but not with respect to the attribute to the number of test subjects who did not prefer the product with respect to the attribute.

11. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 8, wherein the downside is ~~the incremental~~ an overall preference above the base preference attributable to the attribute.

12. (Original) The method of claim 8, wherein calculating the downside for the product includes taking the difference between a base preference and an overall preference, wherein the overall preference is the ratio of the number of test subjects who preferred the product overall to the total number of test subjects.

13. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 8, wherein the upside is ~~the incremental~~ an overall preference attributable to the maximum potential attribute preference.

Appl. No. 10/020,637
Amdt. dated July 6, 2006
Reply to Office Action of March 14, 2006

14. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 8, wherein calculating an upside for the product includes taking the difference between ~~the~~ an overall preference and ~~the~~ a best preference, where the best preference is the ratio of the number of test subjects who preferred the product both overall and with respect to the attribute to the number of test subjects who preferred the product with respect to the attribute.

15. (Currently Amended) A method for determining preference results for a product having an attribute, the method comprising:

calculating a base preference for the product, wherein the base preference is ~~the~~ an overall preference where no test subject prefers the product on its delivery of the attribute;

calculating a downside for the product, wherein the downside is ~~the incremental~~ an overall preference above the base preference attributable to the attribute; and

calculating an upside for the product, wherein the upside is ~~the incremental~~ an overall preference attributable to the maximum potential attribute preference and

comparing the base preference, the downside and the upside to identify product attributes affecting preference results.

16. (Original) The method of claim 15, wherein the base preference is the ratio of the number of test subjects who preferred the product overall but not with respect to the attribute to the number of test subjects who did not prefer the product with respect to the attribute.

17. (Canceled)

18. (Canceled)

19. (Currently Amended) A method for determining preference results from test subjects attributable to an attribute of a product, the method comprising:

calculating a base preference for the product, where the base preference is the ratio of the number of test subjects who preferred the product overall but not with respect to the attribute to the number of test subjects who did not prefer the product with respect to the attribute;

Appl. No. 10/020,637
Amdt. dated July 6, 2006
Reply to Office Action of March 14, 2006

calculating a downside for the product by taking the difference between the base preference and the an overall preference, where the overall preference is the ratio of the number of test subjects who preferred the product overall to the total number of test subjects; and

calculating an upside for the product by taking the difference between the an overall preference and the a best preference, where the best preference is the ratio of the number of test subjects who preferred the product both overall and with respect to the attribute to the number of test subjects who preferred the product with respect to the attribute and

comparing the base preference, the downside and the upside to identify product attributes affecting preference results.

20. (Original) A test results interpretation system comprising:

a computer;

a computer code resident on the computer, wherein the code is adapted to calculate product preference upside and downside based on preference results; and

means for incorporating nonpreferential results into the product preference calculation.

21. (Original) A test results interpretation system comprising:

a matrix of responses including preference results by input choices;

a computer code resident on a computer adapted to calculate product preference for a product by incorporating preference results and nonpreferential results.

22. (Original) The system of claim 21, wherein the computer code is adapted to calculate a base preference for the product.

23. (Original) The system of claim 22, wherein the base preference is the overall preference for the product where no test subject prefers the product on its delivery of an attribute.

24. (Original) The system of claim 22, wherein the base preference is the ratio of the number of test subjects who preferred the product overall but not with respect to the attribute to the number of test subjects who did not prefer the product with respect to the attribute.

Appl. No. 10/020,637
Amdt. dated July 6, 2006
Reply to Office Action of March 14, 2006

25. (Original) The system of claim 21, wherein the computer code is adapted to calculate a downside for the product.

26. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 25, wherein the downside is ~~the incremental~~ an overall preference above the a base preference attributable to the attribute.

27. (Currently Amended) The ~~method~~ system of claim 25, wherein calculating the downside for the product includes taking the difference between a base preference and an overall preference, wherein the overall preference is the ratio of the number of test subjects who preferred the product overall to the total number of test subjects.

28. (Original) The system of claim 21, wherein the computer code is adapted to calculate an upside for the product.

29. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 28, wherein the upside is ~~the incremental~~ an overall preference attributable to the maximum potential attribute preference.

30. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 28, wherein calculating an upside for the product includes taking the difference between ~~the~~ an overall preference and ~~the~~ a best preference, where the best preference is ~~the~~ a ratio of ~~the~~ a number of test subjects who preferred the product both overall and with respect to ~~the~~ an attribute to the number of test subjects who preferred the product with respect to the attribute.